

REMARKS

Claims 31, 33, 35, 37, 38, 40, 42, 44 and 46-49 are presented for consideration, with Claims 31, 35, 38 and 42 being independent.

The independent claims have been amended to further distinguish Applicant's invention from the cited art.

All of the claims, i.e., Claims 31, 33, 35, 37, 38, 40, 42, 44 and 46-49, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being obvious over Shishido '490 in view of Tokunaga '132. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 31 of Applicant's invention relates to a display device capable of displaying first and second windows on a display screen. The device includes first receiving means for receiving first image data for displaying a moving image, second receiving means for receiving second image data for displaying a second image, and image processing means for executing resolution conversion for the first and second image data in correspondence with sizes of the first and second windows. In addition, storing means stores, in a state that the second window is an active window, third image data for displaying only selected frames which are part of frames of the moving storage, wherein data of the first image data corresponding to unselected frames of the moving images are decimated, and displaying means for displaying the second window on which the second image is formed and for displaying the first window on which the selected frames are formed, wherein the unselected frames are not formed on the first window.

As will be appreciated, Claim 31 has been amended to include the image processing means for executing resolution conversion for the first and second image data in correspondence with sizes of the first and second windows. Support for the claimed amendment can be found, for example, on page 25, line 17, *et. seq.*, of the specification. In accordance with Applicant's claimed invention, an effective and high performance display device can be provided.

As discussed in the Preliminary Amendment filed July 19, 2005, Shishido relates to a computer system that is capable of changing the luminance of an active CRT to be different from that of an inactive CRT. With reference to Figure 1, an inputting unit, e.g., a keyboard 1, inputs data to be displayed on a CRT 2 or a CRT 3.

In contrast to Applicant's claimed invention, however, Shishido does not provide, among other features, image processing means for executing resolution conversion for the first and second image data in correspondence with sizes of the first and second windows. Further, the Office Action acknowledges that Shishido does not explicitly disclose storing third image data for displaying only selected frames which are part of frames of the moving image, wherein data of the first image data corresponding to unselected frames of the moving image are decimated.

The Office Action compensates for the acknowledged deficiency in Shishido by citing Tokunaga. In Tokunaga, an image data communicating apparatus 1a is connected over a network 20 and includes a data transmitting unit 2, a traffic detecting unit 3 and a

communication data quantity adjusting unit 4 capable of transferring image data based on network traffic.

Like Shishido, however, Tokunaga is not understood to teach or suggest, among other features, image processing means for executing resolution conversion for the first and second image data in correspondence with sizes of the first and second windows.

Accordingly, without conceding the propriety of combining Shishido and Tokunaga in the manner proposed in the Office Action, it is respectfully submitted that such a combination still fails to teach or suggest Claim 1 of Applicant's invention.

Independent Claims 35, 38 and 42 have been amended to include image processing means or a step of executing resolution conversion along the same lines as in Claim 31. These claims are thus also submitted to be patentable for at least the same reasons discussed above.


Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103 is therefore respectfully requested.

Accordingly, it is submitted that Applicant's invention as set forth in independent Claims 31, 35, 38 and 42 is patentable over the cited art. In addition, dependent Claims 33, 37, 40, 44 and 46-49 set forth additional features of Applicant's invention. Independent consideration of the dependent claims is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of this application is deemed to be in order and such action is respectfully requested.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Scott D. Malpede
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,533

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

SDM:mmm

DC_MAIN 221487v1